Characterization of a Weak Intermolecular Photosensitive Complex between an Organic Substrate and a Polyoxometalate. Crystal and Molecular Structure of α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA·CH₃CN·0.5H₂O (DMA = N,N-Dimethylacetamide)

Michael M. Williamson, Donald A. Bouchard, and Craig L. Hill*

Received March 21, 1986

A solvated complex, 1, between the heteropolymolybdate α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ and the substrate N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA) with the stoichiometry α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA has been isolated, purified and characterized. This yellow complex is highly photosensitive. Irradiation into the low-energy charge-transfer electronic spectral bands of 1 either in the crystalline form or in solution results in oxidation of the DMA substrate molecules and reduction of the polyoxometalate. The electronic spectra (λ 300-600 nm) as well as the ¹H NMR spectra for 1 dissolved in DMA and CD_3CN establish that this weak intermolecular complex dissociates into free PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ and DMA moieties in solution unless the organic donor/substrate, DMA, is present in very high concentrations. The ³¹P NMR and ¹⁷O NMR spectra (22 atom % ¹⁷O enriched) of 1 establish that there is no covalent modification of the Keggin polyoxometalate structure under conditions of full association of 1 in solution (100% DMA). Light- and desolvation-sensitive yellow prisms of 1 crystallize from acetonitrile as an acetonitrile aquo solvate of formula α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀-6DMA·CH₃CN·0.5H₂O in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$. The unit cell has a = 13.595 (6) Å, b = 23.091 (14) Å, c = 21.040 (7) Å, $\beta = 92.50$ (3)°, V_{calcd} = 6598.45 (5.2) Å³, and Z = 4. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined to a final R = 0.0529 (R_w = 0.0492). The structure is remarkably free of disorder. The three polyoxometalate hydrogen atoms could not be located directly, but several structural features suggest strongly that they are associated with the six DMA solvate molecules as three strongly hydrogen bonded dimers, [DMA-H-DMA]⁺. The acetonitrile and water molecules of crystallization and one of three DMA dimers are not within van der Waals distances of any part of the polyoxometalate. The four carbonyl oxygens and three of the four carbonyl carbons in the second and third DMA dimers are within van der Waals distance of the terminal oxo oxygens of the polyoxometalate.

Introduction

In the last few years there has been a rapidly growing number of reports in the literature addressing the use of polyoxometalates as both homogeneous¹⁻³ and heterogeneous catalysts.⁴ A substantial portion of the reported homogeneous catalytic processes are photochemical in nature. The earliest systematic studies of polyoxometalate photochemistry involved aqueous solutions of alkylammonium isopolymolybdates and tungstates by Yamase and co-workers.⁵ On the basis of radical trapping, single-crystal EPR, and other data these investigators reported that photoreduction of the isopolyoxometalates by water or organic substrates present in the aqueous solution involved initial generation of hydroxyl radicals by a photoexcited hydrogen-bonded complex between the cation and the polyanion followed by other processes. Later the catalytic photochemical oxidations, principally of alcohols, by a variety of polyoxomolybdates and -tungstates were investigated

- Most of the literature citing the use of polyoxometalates as homogeneous catalysts for the oxidation of organic substrates addresses the use of heteropolyacids as oxidants for Pd in processes related to the Wacker process. Reviews: (a) Kozhevnikov, I. V.; Matveev, K. I. Appl. Catal. 1983, 5, 135. (b) Kozhevnikov, I. V.; Matveev, K. I. Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.) 1982, 51, 1075. (c) Matveev, K. I.; Kozhevnikov, I. V. Kinet. Katal. 1980, 21, 855.
- (a) Trost, B. M.; Masuyama, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 173. (b) Davison, S. F.; Mann, B. F.; Maitles, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1223. (c) Urabe, K.; Kimura, F.; Izumi, Y. Abstracts of Papers, 7th International Congress on Catalysis, Tokyo; 1980; Part B, p 1418. (d) Ogawa, H.; Fujinami, H.; Taya, K.; Teratani, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1274 and references cited therein. See also the interesting work of Katsoulis and Pope; although it does not strictly address homogeneous catalysis, it discusses related chemistry: Katsoulis, D. E.; Pope, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2737. Katsoulis, C B.; Pope, M. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1186.
 (3) Hill, C. L.; Brown, R. B., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 536.
- Hill, C. L.; Brown, R. B., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 536.
 Recent representative papers addressing heteropoly oxometalates as heterogeneous catalysts: (a) McMonagle, J. B.; Moffat, J. B. J. Catal. 1985, 91, 132. (b) Moffat, J. B. J. Mol. Catal. 1984, 26, 385. (c) Akimoto, M.; Ikeda, H.; Okabe, A.; Echigoya, E. J. Catal. 1984, 89, 196. (d) Hayashi, H.; Moffat, J. B. Ibid. 1983, 83, 192; 1983, 81, 61. (e) Konshi, Y.; Sakata, K.; Misono, M.; Yoneda, Y. Ibid. 1982, 77, 169.
- (c) Konshi, Y.; Sakata, K.; Misono, M.; Yoneda, Y. *Ibid.* 1982, 77, 169.
 (d) Yamase, T.; Ikawa, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1977, 50, 746. (b) Yamase, T.; Ikawa, T. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1979, 37, L529. (c) Yamase, T. *Ibid.* 1981, 54, L165. (d) Yamase, T.; Sasaki, R.; Ikawa, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1981, 628. (e) Ohashi, Y.; Yanagi, K.; Sasada, Y.; Yamase, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1982, 55, 1254. (f) Yamase, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1982, 1987. (g) Yamase, T.; Kurozumi, T. *Ibid.* 1983, 2205.

in some detail by the groups of Yamase,⁶ Papaconstantinou,⁷ Darwent,⁸ and others⁹ as well as our group.¹⁰ It is clear from these investigations that a number of polyoxometalates of both the isopoly and heteropoly class are capable of catalyzing the photochemical dehydrogenation (eq 1) or air oxidation (eq 2) of

$$SH_2 \xrightarrow{P, h\nu} S + H_2$$
 (1)

$$SH_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \xrightarrow{P, h\nu} S + H_2O$$
(2)

SH₂ = organic substrate = alcohol, amide, aldehyde,
 ether, alkane, or one of many other organic functions;
 P = polyoxometalate catalyst

a number of organic substrates. Under appropriate conditions, even saturated hydrocarbons can be functionalized.¹¹ The consensus based on more than one line of experimental evidence is that the substrate oxidation process in all these reactions involves electron transfer from the organic molecule to the polyoxometalate in a ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) excited state. The most recent experimental observation testifying as to the complexity of the mechanisms of these catalytic photochemical organic oxidation reactions is that the spectroscopic and photochemical characteristics of some heteropoly complexes in 100% dipolar aprotic and certain other organic media constitute classical intermolecular electron donor-acceptor behavior.^{10,12} We feel that the unequivocal characterization of photosensitive complexes

- (6) (a) Yamase, T.; Kurozumi, T. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1984, 83, L25. (b) Yamase, T.; Takabayashi, N.; Kaji, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 793. (c) Yamase, T.; Watanabe, R. Ibid. 1986, 1669.
- (7) (a) Papaconstantinou, E.; Dimotikali, D.; Politou, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1980, 46, 155. (b) Papaconstantinou, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 12. (c) Dimotkali, D.; Papacontantinou, E. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1984, 87, 177. (d) Ioannidis, A.; Papacontantinou, E. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 439.
- (a) Darwent, J. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 798.
 (b) Akid, R.; Darwent, J. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 395.
 (9) Ward, W. D.; Brazdil, J. F.; Grasselli, R. K. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88,
- (9) Ward, W. D.; Brazdil, J. F.; Grasselli, R. K. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 4210.
- (10) Hill, C. L.; Bouchard, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5148.
- (11) Renneke, R. F.; Hill, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3528.
- (12) Prosser-McCartha, C. M.; Kadkhodayan, M.; Williamson, M. M.; Bouchard, D. A.; Hill, C. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1747.

between organic substrates and polyoxometalates in both the solid and solution states is of some importance in further elucidating many of the features of the catalytic photochemistry effected by polyoxometalates, such as that exemplified by eq 1 and 2. In accord with this we report here the isolation, purification, and several properties of a discrete photosensitive intermolecular complex between an organic substrate and a polyoxomolybdate, α -dodecamolybdophosphoric acid-6-(N,N-dimethylacetamide), α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA (1).

Experimental Section

Materials. All organic solvents used for the physical measurements and syntheses were reagent grade or glass-distilled grade (Burdick and Jackson) and stored under argon. Deuteriated solvents were purchased from Aldrich. Isotopically enriched water (22 atom % ¹⁷O) was purchased from Monsanto/DOE (Mound Facility, Miamisburg, OH). The precursor polyoxometalate, α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·nH₂O, $n \approx 13$,¹³ and α -[n- $Bu_4N_{3}PMo_{12}O_{40}^{13,14}$ were prepared by literature methods. Elemental analyses were by either Atlanta Microlabs (for C, H, and N) or Galbraith Laboratories (for the other elements)

Physical Measurements. ³¹P and ¹⁷O NMR spectra were obtained with an IBM WP-200SY spectrometer operating at 81.01 and 27.13 MHz, respectively. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained with a Nicolet Model 360NB 360-MHz NMR spectrometer operating at 360.1 MHz. Infrared spectra of samples were obtained as KBr pellets (2-4 wt % sample in KBr) on a Perkin-Elmer Model 983 spectrophotometer. Electronic spectra (λ 300-600 nm) were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard Model 8451A multidiode array spectrometer. The crystallography is described below

Preparation of α -Dodecamolybdophosphoric Acid-6-(N,N-Dimethylacetamide), α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA (1). To a 30 mL Gooch crucible is added 10 mL of a 9.3 mM aqueous solution of α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ nH₂O. To this stirred solution is added 2.0 mL (21.5 mmol) of DMA. The resulting precipitate is collected and then sucked as dry as possible on a fritted-glass funnel. Care is taken to avoid all direct light in this and subsequent procedures as the product complex, 1, is quite light-sensitive. The precipitate is recrystallized three times from acetonitrile to yield 7.24 g of yellow crystals. ¹H NMR (Me₂SO-d₆; chemical shifts δ relative to Me₄Si internal standard): 5.17 (s, polyoxometalate, 3 H); 2.95 and 2.79 (s, two distinct N-methyl resonances due to hindered rotation, 18 H each); 1.96 (s, methyl adjacent to carbonyl, 18 H). ¹⁷O NMR (chemical shifts δ relative to H₂¹⁷O, DMA as solvent, conditions of full association of 1 in solution): 940.3 (terminal O); 586.5 (doubly bridging O); 547.2 (doubly bridging O); 347 (DMA O from natural-abundance ¹⁷O). ¹⁷O NMR (δ , CH₃CN as solvent, conditions of dissociation of 1 into free PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ and DMA moieties in solution): 1103.7 (terminal O); 671.4 (doubly bridging O); 646.4 (doubly bridging O). The internal triply bridging oxygens of 1 were not specifically enriched by using ¹⁷O-enriched H₃PO₄ in the preparation of the polyoxometalate precursor. As a consequence these oxygens did not contain enough ¹⁷O for this resonance to be seen.¹⁵ ³¹P NMR (DMA; chemical shift δ relative to 85% orthophosphoric acid external standard): -0.95. This value was not corrected for bulk susceptibility. Results from elemental analyses were variable apparently due to the ready ability of the monoclinic form of crystalline 1 to occlude solvate molecules (see discussion of X-ray crystal structure below). Anal. Calcd for C24H57-Mo12N6O46P (H3PM012O40.6DMA): C, 12.27; H, 2.45; Mo, 49.03; N, 3.58; P, 1.32. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{61}Mo_{12}N_7O_{46.5}P$ (H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA· CH₃CN•0.5H₂O, the same stoichiometry in the particular crystal submitted for analysis by X-ray crystallography): C, 13.02; H, 2.60; Mo, 48.01; N, 4.09; P, 1.29. Found: C, 13.57; H, 2.53; Mo, 49.03; N, 4.26; P, 1.35

X-ray Crystallography. Collection and Reduction of Intensity Data. A bright yellow prismatic crystal of 1 was coated with epoxy cement immediately after removal from the mother liquor in order to avoid crystal destruction by efflorescent desolvation. The crystal was then affixed to the end of a glass capillary and the capillary mounted on a goniometer head of a Syntex P21 four-circle diffractometer. Accurate cell dimensions were obtained by least-squares refinement of 19 centered reflections (7.90 $\leq 2\theta \leq 27.47^{\circ}$; $\lambda(Mo \ K\alpha) = 0.71069 \ Å$). The ω Wyckoff scan method was used with a variable scan rate of 3.91-14.65°

Table I. Crystallographic Data for α -Dodecamolybdophosphor	ic
Acid-6-(N,N-Dimethylacetamide)-Acetonitrile-0.5-Water,	
α -H ₃ PMo ₁₂ O ₄₀ ·6DMA·CH ₃ CN·0.5H ₂ O (1)	

A. Crystal Da	ata
formula	C ₂₆ H ₆₁ Mo ₁₂ N ₇ O _{46.5} P
fw	2398.04
space group	monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ (No. 14)
systematic absences	h0l, h + l = 2n + 1; 0k0, k
	= 2n + 1; h00, h = 2n +
•	1; 00 <i>l</i> , $l = 2n + 1$
a, A	13.595 (6)
b, A	23.091 (14)
<i>c</i> , A	21.040 (7)
β , deg	92.50 (3)
V, Å ³	6598.5 (5.2)
Z	4
$D_{\text{calcd}}, \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	2.42
$D_{\rm obsd}$, g cm ⁻³	2.46 (2)
cryst dimens, mm	$0.4 \times 0.58 \times 0.4$
color	bright yellow
habit	prismatic
μ (calcd), cm ⁻¹	22.74
B. Data Collec	tion
diffractometer	Syntex (Nicolet) P2 ₁
radiation (monochromatic)	Mo K α (λ = 0.71069 Å)
$R(merge), R(\sigma)$	0.0087, 0.0406
total reflecns measd	12612
unique reflects collected/indep reflects	$11587/9135 (F_{o} > 3\sigma(F_{o}))$
scan method	ω (Wyckoff)
2θ range, deg	3-50
scan speed, deg min ⁻¹	3.91-14.65
bkgd/scan time	1
reflecns measd	$+h,+k,\pm l$
temp, °C	20
C. Refinemen	nt
abs corr	semiempirical (ψ -scan)
max, min transmission	0.366, 0.320
max residual electron density, e Å ⁻³	1.45 (1.21 Å from Nd)
R ^a	0.0529
R_{w}^{b}	0.0492
GOF ^c	1.659

 ${}^{a}R = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|.$ ${}^{b}R_{w} = [\sum w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / \sum w|F_{o}|^{2}].$ ^cGOF (goodness of fit) = $\left[\sum_{v} w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2}/(n_{o} - n_{v})\right]^{1/2}$, where n_{o} is the number of observations, n_v is the number of parameters, and $w^{-1} =$ $(\sigma^2(F) + |0.0002|F^2).$

 min^{-1} (scan/background = 1). Intensity measurements of 3 standards every 197 reflections showed no evidence of significant crystal deterioration. Intensities $(2\theta = 3-50^\circ)$ were measured for 12612 reflections, of which 9135 unique reflections displayed $F_o \ge 3\sigma(F_o)$. Four reflections (-12,13,9; -2,4,6; 1,15,0; 0,1,3) were omitted and are believed to be in error due to their unusually large difference between F_c and F_o relative to the remaining reflections in the data set. Crystal and data collection parameters along with the values for the residuals after final refinement are summarized in Table I.

Structure Solution and Refinement. The computer hardware and software for data refinement and structure solution were described previously.¹⁶ The data exhibited the following systematic absences: hkl; h0l, h + l = 2n + 1; 0k0, k = 2n + 1; h00, h = 2n + 1; 00l, l = 2n + 11. The monoclinic space group was uniquely determined to be $P2_1/n$ (nonstandard setting of $P2_1/c$ (No. 14)). Conventional Patterson synthesis provided positions for most of the Mo atoms. Subsequent Fourier syntheses and least-squares refinement provided locations for the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen positions were not readily discernible from electron density difference maps. Methyl hydrogens were placed in their calculated positions and were allowed to "ride" on their parent carbon atoms (C-H = 0.96 Å; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2[U_{eq}(C)]$). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The final electron density difference map was featureless with the maximum positive peak 1.45 e Å⁻³ at a distance of 1.21 Å from N(d) of DMA molecule d. Blocked-cascade least-squares refinement of 838 variables gave final agreement factors of R = 0.0529, $R_w = 0.0492$, and GOF = 1.659 (see Table I for definitions of R, R_w , and GOF). On the last cycle of the

^{(13) (}a) Wu, H. J. Biol. Chem. 1920, 43, 189. (b) Rocchiccioli-Deltcheff, C.; Fournier, M.; Franck, R.; Thouvenot, R. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 207. Filowitz, M.; Ho, R. K. C.; Klemperer, W. G.; Shum, W. Inorg. Chem.

⁽¹⁴⁾

⁽¹⁴⁾ Flowing, M., H. K. C., Richperdy, W. G., Shuhi, W. Horg, Chehr. 1979, 18, 93 and references cited therein.
(15) Klemperer and co-workers¹⁴ described a procedure for enriching the internal triply bridging oxygens of the PM₁₂O₄₀³⁻ (M = Mo or W) Keggin structure with ¹⁷O from H₂¹⁷O.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Hill, C. L.; Williamson, M. M. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 3024 and references cited therein.

Table II. Atomic Coordinates (×10⁴) and Temperature Factors (Å² × 10³)

		· · ·	•							
atom	x	У	Ζ	U ^a	atom	x	у		U^a	
Р	4923 (1)	6512 (1)	7102 (1)	22 (1)	O(10d)	2158 (4)	6818 (2)	6604 (3)	37 (2)	
Mo(1)	6822 (1)	7004 (1)	6140 (1)	39 (1)	O(10e)	1855 (4)	7824 (3)	7266 (3)	50 (2)	
Mo(2)	7122 (1)	7015 (1)	7890 (1)	38 (1)	O (10f)	2373 (4)	6787 (2)	7854 (3)	40 (2)	
Mo(3)	7034 (1)	5627 (1)	7019 (1)	33 (1)	O(11e)	2163 (4)	5763 (3)	8517 (3)	54 (2)	
Mo(4)	4833 (1)	7873 (1)	6314 (1)	40 (1)	O (11f)	2289 (4)	5811 (2)	7200 (3)	38 (2)	
Mo(5)	5004 (1)	7774 (1)	8067 (1)	37 (1)	O(12e)	1721 (4)	5810 (3)	5922 (3)	50 (2)	
Mo(6)	5303 (1)	6449 (1)	8772 (1)	39 (1)	Oa	4928 (6)	7185 (4)	11008 (4)	88 (4)	
Mo (7)	5079 (1)	5116 (1)	7816 (1)	39 (1)	Na	6098 (6)	7449 (4)	10365 (4)	59 (3)	
Mo(8)	4939 (1)	5216 (1)	6196 (1)	37 (1)	C(1a)	5726 (7)	7085 (4)	10768 (4)	51 (3)	
Mo(9)	4616 (1)	6639 (1)	5423 (1)	42 (1)	C(2a)	6295 (9)	6554 (4)	10931 (5)	77 (5)	
Mo (10)	2732 (1)	7327 (1)	7192 (1)	36 (1)	C(3a)	7038 (8)	7406 (5)	10082 (6)	84 (5)	
Mo(11)	2918 (1)	6054 (1)	8007 (1)	38 (1)	C(4a)	5517 (10)	7968 (5)	10197 (5)	86 (5)	
Mo(12)	2661 (1)	6044 (1)	6390 (1)	34 (1)	ОЪ	6010 (5)	411 (3)	1322 (3)	62 (3)	
O(la)	7861 (4)	7181 (3)	5801 (3)	52 (2)	Nb	6884 (6)	702 (3)	2175 (4)	50 (3)	
O(1b)	7050 (4)	6244 (2)	6383 (3)	36 (2)	C(1b)	6104 (7)	460 (4)	1914 (5)	49 (3)	
O(1c)	7128 (4)	7193 (2)	7024 (3)	40 (2)	C(2b)	5358 (9)	229 (6)	2325 (6)	101 (6)	
O(1d)	6031 (4)	6791 (2)	5424 (3)	43 (2)	C(3b)	7086 (9)	764 (5)	2861 (5)	78 (5)	
O(1e)	6138 (4)	7753 (2)	6108 (3)	40 (2)	C(4b)	/612 (8)	951 (5)	1//4 (5)	/6 (5)	
O(11)	5191 (4)	6856 (2) 7192 (2)	6506 (2)	31(2)	Uc No	60/9 (6)	8044 (3)	4/65 (4)	80 (3)	
O(2a)	8264 (4)	/182 (3)	8152 (3)	56 (2) 25 (2)		0097 (7) (797 (10)	/846 (4)	4352 (4)	72 (4) (5 (4)	
O(2c)	/260 (4)	5211(2)	/004 (3)	35 (2)	C(1c)	0/8/ (10)	831.7 (4)	4082 (5)	65 (4) 92 (6)	
O(2a)	6439(4)	/004 (2)	0007 (3)	30(2)	C(2c)	7754 (10)	74435(3)	4900 (0)	92 (0)	
0(20)	5391 (4)	6004(2)	0033 (3) 7699 (3)	30(2)	C(30)	5726 (11)	7441 (3)	4228 (0)	122(0)	
O(21)	9126 (4)	5321(2)	7000 (2) 6047 (2)	$\frac{25}{47}$ (2)	04	A776 (6)	-179(4)	769 (1)	119(7)	
O(3d)	6468 (4)	5130 (2)	7609 (3)	$\frac{4}{2}$	Nd	3363 (7)	-175(4)	269 (4)	69 (4)	
O(3u)	6298 (4)	5130(2) 5187(2)	6361 (3)	36(2)	C(1d)	3905 (7)	8 (4)	591 (4)	47(3)	
0(30)	5316 (4)	5894 (2)	7057(2)	29(2)	C(2d)	3669 (13)	577 (5)	719 (6)	138 (9)	
O(31)	5157 (4)	7972 (2)	7171(3)	$\frac{29}{38}(2)$	C(3d)	2404(7)	-273(5)	18 (4)	64 (4)	
O(4c)	4458 (4)	7434(2)	5548(3)	42(2)	C(4d)	3776(11)	-952(5)	147 (6)	111(7)	
O(4e)	4624 (5)	8546 (3)	6061 (3)	55(2)	Oe	3529 (7)	512(3)	4599 (4)	99 (4)	
O(40)	3539(4)	7659 (2)	6594 (3)	35(2)	Ne	3435 (7)	-429(4)	4434 (4)	66 (4)	
Q(5d)	4926 (5)	8425 (3)	8406 (3)	55(2)	C(1e)	3619 (8)	13 (4)	4813 (5)	60 (4)	
O(5e)	5005 (4)	7280 (2)	8771 (3)	39 (2)	C(2e)	3866 (9)	-86 (5)	5498 (5)	76 (5)	
O(5f)	3713 (4)	7598 (2)	7823 (2)	36 (2)	C(3e)	3510 (9)	-1041 (4)	4610 (6)	88 (5)	
O(6c)	3433 (4)	6462 (2)	5779 (3)	38 (2)	C(4e)	3114 (11)	-308 (6)	3751 (5)	112 (7)	
O(6e)	5314 (5)	6329 (3)	9558 (3)	55 (2)	Of	6300 (7)	3376 (4)	8429 (4)	113 (4)	
O (6f)	3925 (4)	6363 (2)	8532 (3)	38 (2)	Nf	6855 (7)	3657 (4)	7508 (5)	74 (4)	
O(7d)	5070 (5)	4529 (2)	8274 (3)	52 (2)	C(1f)	6184 (8)	3433 (4)	7835 (5)	57 (4)	
O(7e)	4829 (4)	4800 (2)	7010 (3)	40 (2)	C(2f)	5308 (9)	3243 (6)	7524 (10)	170 (11)	
O(7f)	3828 (4)	5417 (2)	7868 (3)	37 (2)	C(3f)	6857 (12)	3749 (5)	6854 (6)	125 (8)	
O(8c)	4993 (4)	5853 (2)	5667 (3)	36 (2)	C(4f)	7757 (10)	3843 (6)	7880 (9)	155 (10)	
O(8e)	4765 (5)	4660 (3)	5698 (3)	53 (2)	C(1s)	5247 (10)	1543 (7)	8044 (8)	122 (8)	
O(8f)	3586 (4)	5461 (2)	6330 (3)	36 (2)	C(2s)	5150 (8)	1301 (6)	7411 (8)	91 (6)	
O(9d)	4361 (5)	6576 (3)	4648 (3)	58 (3)	Ns	5037 (9)	1102 (6)	6941 (6)	132 (7)	
O(9f)	5464 (4)	5714 (2)	8428 (3)	37 (2)	Ow	9296 (19)	-12 (7)	4904 (12)	181 (15)	
O(10a)	3812 (4)	6496 (2)	7144 (2)	28 (2)						

^a Equivalent isotropic U defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ii} tensor.

least-squares refinement the ratio of the maximum shift to the estimated standard deviation was 0.084. The data were corrected for absorption by semiempirical (ψ -scan) methods.¹⁷ A weighting scheme utilizing weights of the form $w = [\sigma^2(F) + |g|F^2]^{-1}$ (where g = 0.0002) was implemented. Scattering factors were those used for neutral atoms.¹⁸ The final positional and thermal parameters are given in Table II.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis, Electronic Structure, and Stability of 1 in Solution. When concentrated aqueous solutions of the amide substrate N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA) and the heteropolymolybdate α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·nH₂O are mixed, a new material far less soluble in water than either of the components precipitates from solution. This complex between the heteropolyacid and the organic substrate, α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA (1), can be recrystallized from acetonitrile to yield yellow prisms. Similarly colored isolable photosensitive intermolecular complexes form between other heteropolymolybdates or -tungstates and a number of electron-rich organic substrates.

Whereas the common aquated forms of heteropoly acids display no detectable photochemistry in the near-UV or visible region of



Figure 1. Comparison of the electronic spectra of three discrete forms of the α -PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ moiety in solution showing the region with the charge-transfer maxima: $(--) \alpha - H_3 PMo_{12}O_{40} \cdot 6DMA$ (1) in CH₃CN; (--) 1 in DMA; (----) $(n-Bu_4N)_3PMo_{12}O_{40}$ in CH₃CN. All spectra were obtained on 0.46 mM solutions in 0.1-cm cells at 24 °C.

the spectrum where they absorb and the quaternary ammonium forms of heteropoly compounds display negligible or very low levels of photochemistry in this region, the situation with respect to 1 is quite different. This complex is highly photosensitive in the

⁽¹⁷⁾ North, A. C. T.; Phillips, D. C.; Mathews, F. S. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1968, A24, 351. Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. International Tables for X-ray Crystal-

⁽¹⁸⁾ lography; Kynoch: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2.2B.





near-UV or visible region where it absorbs (Figures 1 and 2) either in solution or in the crystalline form analyzed by X-ray crystallography (vide infra). Manipulations involving 1 must be carried out in a darkened laboratory. Furthermore, irradiation of 1 results in a well-defined photoredox process in which the DMA molecules are oxidized and the polyoxometalate is reduced. The organic chemistry resulting from the oxidized DMA moieties is complicated and will be addressed in complete mechanistic studies to be published subsequently.

The electronic spectra of either α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·nH₂O or 1 dissolved in a weakly coordinating solvent such as acetonitrile in the absence and presence of DMA clearly indicate that the DMA-polyoxometalate intermolecular interactions are weak and are almost entirely disrupted unless the mole fraction of DMA in the acetonitrile solvent approaches 1.0. Crystalline 1 dissociates extensively into α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ and free DMA molecules when dissolved at millimolar concentrations in acetonitrile (Figures 1 and 2). Indeed, the purification of 1 by recrystallization from acetonitrile results in initial breakage followed by complete reformation of the weak intermolecular DMA-polyoxometalate interactions in the complex. The ¹H, ¹⁷O, and ³¹P NMR spectra taken of 1 in acetonitrile vs. those spectra taken in DMA confirm this behavior. The ¹H NMR spectrum of crystalline 1 dissolved in acetonitrile shows minimally perturbed freely diffusing DMA molecules. The ³¹P NMR spectra of α -PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ species do not have chemical shifts that vary appreciably with medium and consequently yield little or no information regarding the intermolecular DMA-polyoxometalate interactions. Figures 1 and 2 clearly show the effect of the DMA molecules on the complex charge-transfer absorption manifold of α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ when the organic molecules are in the primary solvation sphere of the heteropolyacid. The presence of the DMA molecules results in a noticeable hyperchromic and slight bathochromic shift of the electronic absorption spectrum of the α -PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ species. This shift parallels the shift of the photochemical action spectrum for α -PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ reduction and DMA oxidation. The shifts in the absorption and action spectra of α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀ induced by DMA are far less dramatic than those shifts induced in heteropolyacids of molybdenum and tungsten by some other organic substrates.

X-ray Crystal Structure of 1. Diffraction-quality crystals of 1 can be grown from acetonitrile solution in the dark. In the monoclinic crystalline modification of 1 examined here, this intermolecular complex crystallizes as an acetonitrile aquo solvate of formula α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA·CH₃CN·0.5H₂O; the entire formula is in the asymmetric unit. A combined ORTEP plot and numbering diagram of all the non-hydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit is given in Figure 3; selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables III and IV, respectively. A stereoview of the packing diagram (Figure S4) and an ORTEP plot of the DMAa-DMAf dimer interacting with adjacent polyoxometalates (Figure S5) are included in the supplementary material. All non-hydrogen atoms of the polyoxomolybdate as well as those of the eight solvate molecules are shown in Figure 3. Despite the relatively high quality of the data set, the presence of numerous heavy atoms in the structure precludes determination of the hydrogen atom

Table III. Bond Lengths (Å)

$\mathbf{P}_{-\mathbf{O}}(1f)$	1 542 (5)	$\mathbf{P}_{-\mathbf{O}(2f)}$	1 510 (5)
	1.572 (5)	D(21)	1.517(5)
F=O(31)	1.526 (5)	F=O(10a)	1.510 (5)
MO(1) = O(1a)	1.002 (0)	MO(1)-O(10)	1.849 (5)
Mo(1)-O(1c)	1.939 (6)	Mo(1)-O(1d)	1.878 (6)
Mo(1)-O(1e)	1.964 (5)	Mo(1)-O(1f)	2.404 (5)
Mo(2)-O(1c)	1.867 (6)	Mo(2)-O(2a)	1.670 (6)
Mo(2)-O(2c)	1.963 (5)	Mo(2) - O(2d)	1.859 (5)
$M_0(2) = O(2e)$	1 956 (6)	$M_0(2) = O(2f)$	2 434 (5)
$M_0(3) = O(1b)$	1.956 (5)	$M_0(3) = O(2c)$	1 842 (5)
$M_{0}(3) O(10)$	1.550 (5)	$M_{0}(3) O(2d)$	1.072(5)
MO(3) = O(3a)	1.000 (0)	MO(3) = O(30)	1.679 (3)
MO(3)-O(3e)	1.958 (5)	MO(3) = O(31)	2.420 (5)
MO(4) = O(1e)	1.865 (6)	Mo(4)O(11)	2.428 (5)
Mo(4)-O(4c)	1.851 (5)	Mo(4)–O(4d)	1.953 (6)
Mo(4)-O(4e)	1.664 (6)	Mo(4)-O(4f)	1.943 (5)
Mo(5)-O(2d)	1.961 (6)	Mo(5)-O(2f)	2.433 (5)
Mo(5)-O(4c)	1.961 (6)	Mo(5)-O(5d)	1.670 (6)
$M_{0}(5) - O(5e)$	1.869 (5)	$M_0(5) = O(5f)$	1.852 (5)
$M_0(6) = O(2e)$	1.868 (6)	$M_0(6) = O(2f)$	2432(5)
$M_{0}(6) = O(5e)$	1.063 (6)	$M_{0}(6) = O(6a)$	1.676 (6)
$M_{0}(6) = O(50)$	1.000 (6)	$M_{0}(0) = O(00)$	1.070 (0)
M(0) = O(01)	1.929 (0)	MO(0) = O(91)	1.860 (5)
MO(7) = O(3d)	1.957 (6)	MO(7) = O(31)	2.433 (5)
Mo(7)–O(7d)	1.665 (6)	Mo(7) - O(7e)	1.864 (6)
Mo(7)–O(7f)	1.845 (6)	Mo(7)–O(9f)	1.944 (5)
Mo(8)-O(3e)	1.866 (6)	Mo(8)-O(3f)	2.432 (5)
Mo(8)-O(7e)	1.974 (6)	Mo(8)-O(8c)	1.849 (6)
Mo(8)-O(8e)	1.667 (6)	Mo(8)-O(8f)	1.956 (5)
Mo(9)-O(1d)	1.955 (6)	$M_0(9) = O(1f)$	2.429 (5)
$M_0(9) = O(4d)$	1 869 (6)	$M_0(9) = O(6c)$	1 848 (6)
$M_0(9) = O(8c)$	1 947 (6)	$M_0(9) = O(9d)$	1.658 (6)
$M_0(10) = O(4f)$	1.970 (5)	$M_0(10) = O(50)$	1.030 (0)
$M_{0}(10) = O(10_{0})$	2,422,(5)	$M_{0}(10) = O(10d)$	1.943(5)
$M_0(10) = O(10a)$	2.422(3)	$M_{0}(10) = O(100)$	1.034(3)
$M_{0}(10) - O(10e)$	1.007 (0)	MO(10) = O(101)	1.948 (6)
MO(11) = O(01)	1.862 (5)	M0(11)-O(71)	1.952 (6)
Mo(11) - O(10a)	2.452 (5)	Mo(11) - O(10f)	1.870 (6)
Mo(11) - O(11e)	1.658 (6)	Mo(11)–O(11f)	1.951 (5)
Mo(12)-O(6c)	1.952 (6)	Mo(12)–O(8f)	1.850 (5)
Mo(12)-O(10a)	2.416 (5)	Mo(12)-O(10d)	1.974 (5)
Mo(12)-O(11f)	1.877 (6)	Mo(12) - O(12e)	1.669 (6)
Oa-C(1a)	1.237 (13)	Na-C(1a)	1.312 (13)
Na-C(3a)	1.437 (14)	Na-C(4a)	1.469 (14)
C(1a) - C(2a)	1.482 (14)	Ob-C(1b)	1.252 (13)
Nb-Ć(1b)	-1.300 (12)	Nb-C(3b)	1.464 (12)
Nb-C(4h)	1448(14)	C(1h) = C(2h)	1 461 (16)
$\Omega_{c-C(1c)}$	1.742(15)	$N_{c-C(1c)}$	1.401(10) 1.204(13)
$N_{2} - C(2_{2})$	1.242(13) 1.426(17)	$N_{c} = C(1c)$	1.294(13)
C(1a) C(2a)	1.420(17)	NC=C(4C)	1.430 (17)
C(1c) - C(2c)	1.427(18)		1.299 (12)
	1.303 (13)	Nd-C(3d)	1.401 (13)
Nd-C(4d)	1.495 (16)	C(1d) - C(2d)	1.381 (16)
Oe-C(1e)	1.240 (13)	Ne-C(1e)	1.315 (13)
Ne-C(3e)	1.463 (14)	Ne-C(4e)	1.509 (14)
C(1e)-C(2e)	1.484 (14)	Of-C(1f)	1.261 (14)
Nf-C(1f)	1.275 (14)	Nf-C(3f)	1.392 (16)
Nf-C(4f)	1.488 (17)	C(1f)-C(2f)	1.404 (18)
C(1s) - C(2s)	1.446 (23)	C(2s)-Ns	1.095 (21)

positions. The acetonitrile and water molecules of crystallization are not within van der Waals distance of any polyoxometalate or DMA atoms.¹⁹ On the basis of bond lengths, the six DMA molecules clearly are present as three protonated dimers (O-H···O hydrogen-bonded pairs) in the crystal structure (DMAa-DMAf, DMAb-DMAd, and DMAc-DMAe).²⁰ This pairing of the DMA molecules is fairly evident from Figure 3. These DMA pairs can be viewed as weakly binding the polyoxometalate molecules together, or equally apt, the polyoxometalate molecules can be viewed as weakly binding the DMA dimers together, resulting in a quite complex packing arrangement in the unit cell. All the bond distances and angles in the PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ heteropolyanion are

⁽¹⁹⁾ There is half of a water molecule in the asymmetric unit, and the water molecule exhibits 50% occupancy in each of two symmetry-related proximal sites (separated by 1.940 Å) in the unit cell.

⁽²⁰⁾ The O-H-O distances, 2.458 Å for DMAa-DMAf, 2.420 Å for DMAb-DMAd, and 2.411 Å for DMAc-DMAe, are compatible with strong hydrogen bonds (the lower case letters a-f designate the atoms of DMA molecules a-f, respectively): Emsley, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1980, 9, 91.

Table IV. Bond Angles (deg)

O(1f) - P - O(2f)	109.0 (3)	O(1f)-P-O(3f)	109.6 (3)	O(1d) - Mo(9) - O(9d)	100.3 (3)	O(1f) - Mo(9) - O(9d)	170.1 (3)
O(20 - P - O(20)	100 7 (2)	O(10 - P - O(10))	100 2 (2)	$O(4d) = M_0(0) = O(0d)$	101 7 (2)	O(6a) - Ma(9) - O(9d)	102 2 (2)
O(21) = F = O(31)	109.7 (3)	O(11) = F = O(10a)	109.5 (5)	O(40) = MO(3) = O(30)	101.7 (5)	O(00) = MO(3) = O(30)	103.3 (3)
O(2f) - P - O(10a)	109.8 (3)	O(3f) - P - O(10a)	109.5 (3)	O(8c)-Mo(9)-O(9d)	102.7 (3)	O(4f) - Mo(10) - O(5f)	85.5 (2)
$O(1_{a}) - M_{O}(1) - O(1_{b})$	102.6 (3)	O(1a) - Mo(1) - O(1c)	101.9 (3)	$O(4f) - M_0(10) - O(10a)$	85.5 (2)	$O(5f) - M_0(10) - O(10a)$	83.3 (2)
$O(1b) M_{0}(1) O(1c)$		$O(1_{a}) M_{a}(1) O(1_{a})$	100.9 (2)	$O(40) M_{0}(10) O(10d)$		O(50) M ₀ (10) $O(10d)$	166 2 (2)
O(10) - MO(1) - O(10)	83.3 (Z)	O(1a) - MO(1) - O(1d)	100.8 (3)	O(41) = MO(10) = O(100)	93.0 (2)	O(31) - MO(10) - O(100)	130.3 (2)
O(1b)-Mo(1)-O(1d)	93.3 (2)	O(1c) - Mo(1) - O(1d)	156.9 (3)	O(10a) - Mo(10) - O(10d)	73.0 (2)	O(4f)-Mo(10)-O(10e)	102.9 (3)
O(1a) - Mo(1) - O(1e)	100 2 (3)	O(1h) - Mo(1) - O(1e)	1567 (2)	$O(5f) - M_0(10) - O(10e)$	100 8 (3)	O(10a) - Mo(10) - O(10e)	170 8 (2)
$O(1a) M_{2}(1) O(1c)$	06.2 (3)	O(14) Mo(1) $O(14)$	971(2)	O(104) Ma(10) $O(104)$	102.5 (2)	$O(46)$ $M_{2}(10)$ $O(100)$	156 4 (2)
O(1c) - MO(1) - O(1c)	83.2 (Z)	O(10) - MO(1) - O(10)	07.1 (Z)	O(100) - 100(10) - O(100)	102.5 (5)	O(41) - MO(10) - O(101)	150.4 (2)
O(1a) - Mo(1) - O(1f)	170.5 (2)	O(1b) - Mo(1) - O(1f)	85.6 (2)	O(5f)-Mo(10)-O(10f)	84.4 (2)	O(10a) - Mo(10) - O(10f)	72.1 (2)
$O(1c) - M_0(1) - O(1f)$	83.5 (2)	O(1d) - Mo(1) - O(1f)	73.5 (2)	O(10d) - Mo(10) - O(10f)	87.7 (2)	O(10e) - Mo(10) - O(10f)	99.9 (3)
$O(1_{0}) - M_{0}(1) - O(1_{0})$		$O(1_{0}) - M_{0}(2) - O(2_{0})$	103 2 (3)	$O(60 - M_{0}(11) - O(70)$	856 (2)	$O(60 - M_0(11) - O(100)$	845 (2)
	12.2 (2)	O(10) = WO(2) = O(2a)	103.2(3)		05.0 (2)		07.5 (2)
O(1c) - Mo(2) - O(2c)	84.5 (2)	O(2a) - Mo(2) - O(2c)	102.7 (3)	O(71) - MO(11) - O(10a)	82.2 (2)	O(6I) - MO(11) - O(10I)	91.8 (2)
O(1c)-Mo(2)-O(2d)	93.3 (2)	O(2a) - Mo(2) - O(2d)	101.5 (3)	O(7f)-Mo(11)-O(10f)	154.8 (2)	O(10a) - Mo(11) - O(10f)	72.6 (2)
O(2c) - Mo(2) - O(2d)	1555(2)	O(1c) - Mo(2) - O(2c)	155 3 (2)	$O(6f) - M_0(11) - O(11e)$	103 4 (3)	$O(7f) - M_0(11) - O(11e)$	101.9 (3)
O(2c) Mo(2) O(2c)	100.7(2)	$O(2a) M_{0}(2) O(2a)$	84 4 (7)	$O(10a)$ $M_{0}(11)$ $O(11a)$	171 2 (2)	$O(100, M_0(11), O(11_0))$	1021(2)
O(2a) = MO(2) = O(2e)	100.7(3)	O(2c) - MO(2) - O(2c)	04.4 (2)	O(10a) = MO(11) = O(11e)	1/1.5 (2)	O(101) - MO(11) - O(11e)	103.1 (3)
O(2d) - Mo(2) - O(2e)	87.8 (2)	O(1c)-Mo(2)-O(2f)	85.3 (2)	O(6f)-Mo(11)-O(11f)	155.4 (2)	O(7f)-Mo(11)-O(11f)	84.8 (2)
O(2a) - Mo(2) - O(2f)	170.4 (3)	O(2c) - Mo(2) - O(2f)	82.3 (2)	O(10a) - Mo(11) - O(11f)	71.8 (2)	$O(10f) - M_0(11) - O(11f)$	87.4 (2)
$O(2d) M_{0}(2) O(20)$	72 7 (2)	$O(2a) - M_0(2) - O(2b)$	71 4 (2)	$O(11_{0}) - M_{0}(11) - O(11_{0})$	100 7 (3)	$O(6_{0}) - M_{0}(1_{0}) - O(8_{0})$	850(2)
O(20) = MO(2) = O(21)	75.2 (2)	O(2e) = NO(2) = O(21)	71.4 (2)		100.7(3)	O(00) = MO(12) = O(01)	33.9(2)
O(1b) - Mo(3) - O(2c)	85.3 (2)	O(1b) - Mo(3) - O(3a)	101.5 (3)	O(6c) - Mo(12) - O(10a)	82.4 (2)	O(81) - MO(12) - O(10a)	86.4 (2)
O(2c)-Mo(3)-O(3a)	103.7 (3)	O(1b)-Mo(3)-O(3d)	156.1 (2)	O(6c) - Mo(12) - O(10d)	84.4 (2)	O(8f) - Mo(12) - O(10d)	156.6 (2)
O(2c) - Mo(3) - O(3d)	030(2)	$O(3a) = M_0(3) = O(3d)$	101 9 (3)	$O(10a) = M_0(12) = O(10d)$	713 (2)	$O(6c) = M_0(12) = O(11f)$	156 1 (2)
O(20) MO(3) $O(30)$		O(32) MO(3) $O(32)$	101.5 (5)	$O(100) M_{2}(12) O(110)$	(1.5(2))	$O(10_2) M_2(12) O(110)$	72.9 (2)
O(1b) - Mo(3) - O(3e)	85.1 (2)	O(2c) - Mo(3) - O(3c)	156.6 (2)	O(81) - MO(12) - O(111)	93.7 (2)	O(10a) - MO(12) - O(111)	73.8 (2)
O(3a) - Mo(3) - O(3e)	99.0 (3)	O(3d) - Mo(3) - O(3e)	86.5 (2)	O(10d) - Mo(12) - O(11f)	86.8 (2)	O(6c) - Mo(12) - O(12e)	101.0 (3)
$O(1b) - M_0(3) - O(3f)$	82.9 (2)	O(2c) - Mo(3) - O(3f)	85.7 (2)	O(8f) - Mo(12) - O(12e)	103.3 (3)	O(10a) - Mo(12) - O(12e)	169.9 (2)
O(10) Mo(3) $O(20)$	160 8 (2)	$O(2d) M_0(2) O(20)$	72 7 (1)	$O(10d) - M_0(12) - O(12e)$	00 / (3)	$O(110 - M_0(12) - O(12e)$	102 4 (3)
O(3a) - MO(3) - O(31)	109.8 (2)	O(30) - MO(3) - O(31)	73.2 (2)	O(100) - WO(12) - O(12e)	33. 4 (3)		102.7(3)
O(3e) - Mo(3) - O(3f)	72.0 (2)	O(1e) - Mo(4) - O(1f)	73.1 (2)	Mo(1)-O(1b)-Mo(3)	150.9 (3)	Mo(1) = O(1c) = Mo(2)	151.3 (3)
O(1e) - Mo(4) - O(4c)	93.3 (2)	O(1f) - Mo(4) - O(4c)	85.5 (2)	Mo(1)-O(1d)-Mo(9)	125.2 (3)	Mo(1) - O(1e) - Mo(4)	125.2 (3)
$O(1_{e}) - M_{O}(4) - O(4_{e})$	870 (2)	$O(16) - M_0(4) - O(4d)$	713 (2)	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}(1\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{O}}(1)$	1260 (3)	$P = O(1f) = M_0(4)$	125 5 (3)
O(1e) = 100(4) = O(4d)	37.0 (2)	O(11) MO(4) O(40)	102 4 (2)	$M_{2}(1) = O(10) M_{2}(4)$	120.0(3)	$D O(10) M_{-}(0)$	125.5(3)
O(4c) - Mo(4) - O(4d)	155.6 (2)	O(1e) - Mo(4) - O(4e)	102.4(3)	MO(1) = O(11) = MO(4)	89.4 (2)	P=0(11)=M0(9)	125.4 (3)
O(1f)-Mo(4)-O(4e)	170.8 (3)	O(4c) - Mo(4) - O(4e)	103.0 (3)	Mo(1)-O(1f)-Mo(9)	89.5 (2)	Mo(4)-O(1f)-Mo(9)	89.4 (2)
O(4d) - Mo(4) - O(4e)	100.7 (3)	O(1e) - Mo(4) - O(4f)	156.3 (2)	$M_0(2) - O(2c) - M_0(3)$	151.8 (3)	$M_0(2) - O(2d) - M_0(5)$	126.1 (3)
O(10) M ₀ (4) $O(40)$	82 2 (2)	O(4a) - Mo(4) - O(4b)	857 (2)	$M_{0}(2) = O(2e) = M_{0}(6)$	1266 (3)	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r})$	1763 (2)
O(11) - MO(4) - O(41)	03.2 (2)	O(40) = NIO(4) = O(41)	03.2 (2)	MO(2) = O(2e) = MO(0)	120.0 (3)	1 - O(21) - WO(2)	120.3 (3)
O(4d) - Mo(4) - O(4f)	84.9 (2)	O(4e) - Mo(4) - O(4f)	100.9 (3)	P-O(21)-Mo(5)	126.4 (3)	Mo(2)-O(21)-Mo(5)	88.8 (2)
O(2d) - Mo(5) - O(2f)	71.7 (2)	O(2d) - Mo(5) - O(4c)	84.1 (2)	P-O(2f)-Mo(6)	125.1 (3)	$M_0(2) - O(2f) - M_0(6)$	89.2 (2)
$O(2f) - M_0(5) - O(4c)$	823 (2)	O(2d) = Mo(2) = O(2d)	997 (3)	$M_0(5) = O(2f) = M_0(6)$	89.2 (2)	$M_0(3) = O(3d) = M_0(7)$	125.7 (3)
O(21) MO(3) O(40)	1(0,0,(2))	O(2a) Mo(5) O(5a)	102 2 (3)	$M_{0}(2) = O(2n) - M_{0}(0)$	1257(2)	$P_{-}(20) = M_{0}(2)$	125.5 (2)
O(21) - MO(5) - O(50)	109.9 (3)	O(4c) - MO(3) - O(3d)	102.2 (3)	M0(3)=0(3e)=M0(8)	123.7 (3)	F = O(31) = MO(3)	123.3(3)
O(2d) - Mo(5) - O(5e)	87.3 (2)	O(2f)-Mo(5)-O(5e)	73.0 (2)	P-O(3f)-Mo(7)	126.3 (3)	Mo(3)-O(3f)-Mo(7)	89.4 (2)
O(4c) - Mo(5) - O(5e)	155.3 (2)	O(5d) - Mo(5) - O(5e)	102.0 (3)	P-O(3f)-Mo(8)	125.7 (3)	Mo(3) - O(3f) - Mo(8)	89.1 (2)
$O(2d) - M_0(5) - O(50)$	155 8 (2)	$O(20 - M_0(5) - O(50)$	853(2)	$M_0(7) = O(3f) = M_0(8)$	891 (2)	$M_0(4) = O(4c) = M_0(5)$	151 3 (3)
O(20) = WO(3) = O(31)	155.6(2)	$O(21)^{-1}MO(3)^{-1}O(31)^{-1}$	102.0 (2)	$M_{0}(7) O(31) M_{0}(0)$	10(7(2))	$M_{2}(4) O(40) M_{2}(10)$	150.0 (3)
O(4c) - Mo(5) - O(5t)	85.6 (2)	O(30) - MO(3) - O(31)	103.8 (3)	MO(4) = O(4d) = MO(9)	120.7(3)	MO(4) = O(41) = MO(10)	150.9 (3)
O(5e) - Mo(5) - O(5f)	93.1 (2)	O(2e) - Mo(6) - O(2f)	72.7 (2)	Mo(5) - O(5e) - Mo(6)	126.2 (3)	Mo(5) - O(5f) - Mo(10)	151.0 (3)
O(2e) - Mo(6) - O(5e)	86.4 (2)	O(2f) - Mo(6) - O(5e)	71.6 (2)	$M_0(9) - O(6c) - M_0(12)$	151.4 (3)	$M_0(6) - O(6f) - M_0(11)$	151.0 (3)
O(2a) - Mo(6) - O(6a)	102 0 (3)	$O(20 - M_0(6) - O(6e))$	169 2 (3)	$M_0(7) = O(7e) = M_0(8)$	125 5 (3)	$M_0(7) = O(7f) = M_0(11)$	1521 (3)
O(2e) = 100(0) = O(0e)	102.0(3)	$O(21)^{(1)} MO(0) O(00)$	107.2(3)	$M_{2}(0) = O(0, 1) = M_{2}(0)$	152.0 (3)	$M_{2}(0) O(0) M_{2}(12)$	152.1(3)
O(5e) - Mo(6) - O(6e)	98.9 (3)	O(2e) - MO(6) - O(6I)	155.3 (2)	MO(8) = O(8C) = MO(9)	152.0 (3)	MO(8) = O(81) = MO(12)	150.1 (5)
O(2f)-Mo(6)-O(6f)	82.6 (2)	O(5e) - Mo(6) - O(6f)	84:4 (2)	Mo(6)-O(9f)-Mo(7)	150.7 (3)	P-O(10a)-Mo(10)	126.2 (3)
O(6e) - Mo(6) - O(6f)	102.0 (3)	O(2e) - Mo(6) - O(9f)	93.5 (2)	P-O(10a)-Mo(11)	125.4 (3)	Mo(10) - O(10a) - Mo(11)	88.7 (2)
$O(20 - M_{0}(6) - O(00))$	86 7 (7)	O(5a) - Mo(6) - O(9f)	156 8 (2)	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}(104) - \mathbf{M}_0(12)$	126 2 (3)	$M_0(10) = O(10a) = M_0(12)$	896 (2)
O(21) = NO(0) = O(31)	100.2(2)	O(50) MO(0) O(51)	150.0(2)	$M_{2}(11) = O(10_{2}) M_{2}(12)$	20.2 (3)	$M_{2}(10) O(104) M_{2}(12)$	125.0 (2)
O(6e) - Mo(6) - O(91)	103.7(3)	O(61) - MO(6) - O(91)	80.2 (2)	MO(11) = O(10a) = MO(12)	88.8 (2)	MO(10) = O(100) = MO(12)	125.9 (3)
O(3d) - Mo(7) - O(3f)	71.7 (2)	O(3d) - Mo(7) - O(7d)	100.0 (3)	Mo(10) - O(10f) - Mo(11)	126.4 (3)	Mo(11)-O(11f)-Mo(12)	125.6 (3)
$O(3f) - M_0(7) - O(7d)$	170.4 (3)	O(3d) - Mo(7) - O(7e)	86.7 (2)	C(1a)-Na-C(3a)	126.8 (9)	C(1a)-Na-C(4a)	117.4 (9)
$O(2f) - M_0(7) - O(7e)$	735(2)	O(7d) - Mo(7) - O(7e)	101 7 (3)	$C(3_{2}) = N_{2} = C(4_{2})$	1158 (9)	$\Omega_{2} - C(1_{2}) - N_{2}$	120.9 (9)
	156.2 (2)	O(10) MO(1) O(10)		O(3a) Ita $O(4a)$	121.2 (0)	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}} = \mathcal{O}(1_{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathcal{O}(2_{\mathbf{u}})$	117.0 (0)
O(3d) - Mo(7) - O(71)	155.3 (2)	O(3I) - MO(7) - O(7I)	84.8 (2)	Oa-C(Ta)-C(Za)	121.2 (9)	Na = C(Ta) = C(Za)	117.9 (9)
O(7d) - Mo(7) - O(7f)	104.0 (3)	O(7e) - Mo(7) - O(7f)	93.9 (2)	C(1b)-Nb-C(3b)	124.9 (9)	C(1b)NbC(4b)	119.4 (8)
$O(3d) - M_0(7) - O(9f)$	84.4 (2)	$O(3f) - M_0(7) - O(9f)$	82.6 (2)	C(3b)-Nb-C(4b)	115.7 (8)	Ob-C(1b)-Nb	120.3 (9)
$O(74) - M_0(7) - O(90)$	101 8 (2)	$O(7_{e}) - M_{O}(7) - O(9_{e})$	1560(2)	Ob-C(1b)-C(2b)	120 9 (9)	Nb-C(1h)-C(2h)	118 8 (9)
	101.0(3)	O(70) = MO(7) = O(91)	100.0(2)	$O(1_{1})$ N ₂ $O(2_{2})$	120.7(0)	$C(1_2)$ No $C(2_2)$	117.3(11)
O(71) - Mo(7) - O(91)	85.2 (2)	O(3e) - MO(8) - O(31)	/3.1 (2)	C(1c) = Nc = C(3c)	120.7 (11)	C(1c) = Nc = C(4c)	117.3 (11)
O(3e) - Mo(8) - O(7e)	86.2 (2)	O(3f) - Mo(8) - O(7e)	71.8 (2)	C(3c)-Nc-C(4c)	116.0 (10)	Oc-C(1c)-Nc	122.1 (11)
O(3e) - Mo(8) - O(8c)	94.3 (2)	O(3f) - Mo(8) - O(8c)	85.6 (2)	Oc-C(1c)-C(2c)	119.9 (10)	Nc-C(1c)-C(2c)	118.0 (11)
O(7e) - Mo(8) - O(8e)	156 2 (2)	$O(3e) - M_0(8) - O(8e)$	101 6 (3)	C(1d) - Nd - C(3d)	126 4 (9)	C(1d) - Nd - C(4d)	1186 (9)
	1(0 5 (2)	$O(7_{-})$ M ₂ (0) $O(0_{-})$			1150(0)		1147(0)
O(31)-MO(8)-O(8e)	109.3 (2)	O(7e) - MO(8) - O(8e)	99.0 (3)		112.0 (9)		114.7 (9)
O(8c)-Mo(8)-O(8e)	104.0 (3)	O(3e)-Mo(8)-O(8f)	155.9 (2)	Od-C(1d)-C(2d)	118.5 (11)	Nd-C(1d)-C(2d)	126.6 (11)
O(3f)-Mo(8)-O(8f)	82.9 (2)	O(7e) - Mo(8) - O(8f)	84,8 (2)	C(1e)-Ne-C(3e)	126.0 (9)	C(1e)-Ne-C(4e)	118.3 (9)
O(8c) - Mo(8) - O(8c)	85 1 (2)	O(8e) - Mc(8) - O(8f)	101 8 (3)	C(3e) - Ne - C(4e)	1157 (0)	Oe-C(1e)-Ne	119.2 (1)
		$O(14) M_{c}(0) - O(01)$	02 7 (3)	$O_{2} C(1_{2}) C(2_{2})$	120.9 (0)	$N_{\rm e} C(1_{\rm e}) C(2_{\rm e})$	110.0 (0)
U(10)-MO(9)-U(11)	/1./ (2)	U(10)-M0(9)-U(40)	ð0./ (2)	C(1e) - C(2e)	120.8 (9)		119.9 (9)
O(1f)-Mo(9)-O(4d)	72.5 (2)	O(1d)-Mo(9)-O(6c)	155.9 (2)	C(1f)-Nf-C(3f)	128.9 (11)	C(1f)-Nf-C(4f)	115.2 (11)
O(1f)-Mo(9)-O(6c)	85.2 (2)	O(4d) - Mo(9) - O(6c)	93.0 (2)	C(3f)-Nf-C(4f)	116.0 (12)	Of-C(1f)-Nf	121.1 (10)
O(1d) - Mo(9) - O(8c)	854 (2)	$O(1f) - M_0(9) - O(8c)$	82.8 (2)	Of-C(1)-C(2)	119.8 (12)	Nf - C(1f) - C(2f)	119.2 (12)
$O(14) M_{2}(0) O(0-)$	155 4 (2)	$O(6a) = M_{0}(0) = O(8c)$	02.0 (2) 05 0 (2)	$C(1_{2}) - C(2_{2}) = N_{2}$	176 4 (14)		(12)
U(40)-MO(9)-U(8C)	100.4 (2)	O(0C) - MO(3) - O(8C)	o J.U (Z)	C(18) = C(28) = 188	1/0.4 (14)		

unexceptional for those of a heteropolymolybdate of the Keggin structure.^{21,22a,23} The bond distances and angles in all six crys-

tallographically unique DMA molecules, however, all show a higher degree of zwitterionic character than what would be predicted for an unassociated tertiary amide in accord with strong hydrogen bonding.

⁽²¹⁾ Early review of polyoxometalate crystal structures: Evans, H. T. In *Perspect. Struct. Chem.* 1971, 4, 1.
(22) (a) Clark, C. J.; Hall, D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1976, B32, 1545. (b) Spirlet, M.-R.; Busing, W. R. Ibid. 1978, B34, 907. (c) d'Amour, V. H.; Allman, R. Z. Kristallogr. 1976, 143, 1. See also ref 24.

⁽²³⁾ Brown, G. M.; Noe-Spirlet, M.-R.; Busing, W. R.; Levy, H. A. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1977, B33, 1038.



Figure 3. ORTEP and atom-numbering diagram for solvated 1, α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀·6DMA·CH₃CN·0.5H₂O.²⁵ The hydrogen atoms are not indicated for clarity. Atoms are shown with 30% probability ellipsoids.

Table V.	Short Solvate-P	olyoxometa	alate No	onbonding I	Distances in 1	
						-
				1 0		

molecule	solvate non-H atom	α -PM0 ₁₂ O ₄₀ O involved in bonding	dist, Å
	Shortest Contact f	or Each Solvate Mole	cule
DMAb	C1b	O(5d)	2.994 (shortest)
DMAd	Od	O(12e)	3.024
DMAf	C1f	O(7d)	3.110
DMAa	Cla	O(6e)	3.119
DMAe	C4e	O(3d)	3.238
DMAc	Clc	O(11e)	3.299
CH₃CN	Ns	O(11e)	3.220
H ₂ O	Ow	O(6e)	3.331
	Other S	Short Contacts	
DMAb	Ob	O(5d)	3.038
DMAb	Оb	O(12e)	3.110
DMAa	Oa	O(1a)	3.180
DMAf	Of	O(7d)	3.152

A number of X-ray diffraction and neutron diffraction crystal structures have been carried out on conventional photochemically inactive heteropolyacids.²¹⁻²⁴ In most of these cases, the polyoxometalate protons are also involved in hydrogen bonding between the molecules of solvation, water molecules in the case of the literature studies. Examination of these literature structural investigations shows that the hydrogen-bonded water-containing counterions are nearly always more complex than discrete dimers as in the case of $1.^{24}$

With the solvate-solvate interactions delineated, we now can turn to an analysis of the organic solvate-polyoxometalate interactions in 1. Such an analysis should yield information addressing the effect of the DMA on the absorption and photochemical action spectra of the polyoxometalate. Table V summarizes the shortest distances between each of the eight organic solvate molecules (one acetonitrile, half of a water molecule, and six DMA molecules) and the polyoxometalate oxygens. The principal conclusion that follows from an examination of all the data on nonbonded distances for 1 including those data in Table V is that the interactions between the solvate molecules are generally far more substantial than the interactions between the solvate molecules and the polyoxometalate. The shortest DMApolyoxometalate nonbonded distances are of two types; both involve the terminal oxo oxygens of the polyoxometalate (Table V). The first type of short nonbonded distance is to the DMA carbonyl carbons, and the second type is to the DMA carbonyl oxygens. These closest solvate-polyoxometalate distances are all quite long, in some cases barely shorter than the sum of the appropriate van der Waals radii. The lack of substantive structural interactions between the DMA moieties and the polyoxometalate moieties is unexpected and suggests that the origin of the effect of the DMA molecules on the electronic and photochemical action spectra of α -PMo₁₂O₄₀³⁻ does not have a simple structural basis. The nature of the organic substrate-polyoxometalate electronic interactions in 1 is uncertain but may result from both secondary Coulombic and induced-dipole effects. The electronic transitions in complexes such as 1 could involve delocalized excited states or intermolecular donor-acceptor character. Studies currently in progress address these points.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE-8402994).

Registry No. 1-CH₃CN-0.5H₂O, 106455-70-3; DMA, 127-19-5; α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀, 12026-57-2.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of thermal parameters, derived hydrogen positions, nonbonded distances, and torsion angles, a stereoview of the packing diagram (Figure S4) for α -H₃PMo₁₂O₄₀, 6DMA·CH₃CN·0.5H₂O, and a plot of the DMAa-DMAf dimer interacting with adjacent polyoxometalate moieties (Figure S5) (22 pages); a listing of calculated and observed structure factors (54 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

⁽²⁴⁾ Evans, H. T.; Pope, M. T. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 501.

⁽²⁵⁾ Recommended atom-numbering scheme for the Keggin structure: Pope, M. T. Heteropoly and Isopoly Oxometalates; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1983, p 146.